An Uncertainty Based Framework for Quantifying the Effects of Climate Change on Extreme Event Flooding in the United States

> Joshua B. Kollat and Joseph R. Kasprzyk AECOM Water Penn State University

> > Wilbert O. Thomas Jr. Michael Baker Jr. Inc.

## Acknowledgments

**Technical Leads:** David Divoky and Arthur C. Miller - AECOM Water

**Technical Team:** David Markwood, Ray Yost, and Steve Eberbach

#### **FEMA Technical Lead:**

Mark Crowell – Contracting Officer's Technical Representative Federal Emergency Management Agency 500 C St., SW, Washington, DC 20004 PH: 202-646-3432; Email: mark.crowell@fema.gov

#### **Primary Contact:**

Perry E. Rhodes - Project Manager - AECOM Water 3101 Wilson Blvd, Suite 900 Arlington, VA 22201 PH: 703-682-4914; Email: perry.rhodes@aecom.com

#### **Special Thanks:**

Dave Guignet – Maryland Department of the Environment U.S. Army Corp. of Engineers

• Provided detailed RAS analysis for Howard County Maryland

# FEMA Study Background

- Analyze impact of climate change on the National Flood Insurance Program
  - Recommended by the GAO
  - Rely on recent published findings (IPCC, CCSP, etc.)
  - Work will be reviewed by an expert panel
  - Objectives:
    - Location and extent of U.S. floodplains
    - Relationship between BFEs and insured properties
    - Economic structure of the NFIP
- This presentation represents preliminary work and is for illustrative purposes only anticipated completion date: March 2010
- This work will not be used by FEMA to revise flood maps it is purely for long term anticipation of effects on the NFIP
- This is not a study of Howard County or of Maryland specifically only an illustration of the methodology

# Outline

- Introduction
  - Climate Modeling and Extreme Climate Indicators
- Methodology
  - Regression Analysis
  - Observed and Projections
  - Monte Carlo Sampling for Uncertainty
- Results
  - Projections of Change
  - Impacts on Flooding
- Conclusions and Further Work

# **Climate Change**

- IPCC AR4 (2007) Climate Change Report
- Many climate models comprise the results in this report
- In General:
  - Temperature is increasing and will continue to increase
    - ➤ How much is the question...
  - Precipitation patterns are becoming more intense and this trend will very likely continue

## **Climate Indicators**

- Extreme Climate Indicators:
  - Focus on extremes in climate
  - Can these provide us with information on how extreme event flooding may change?
- Examples: (these are the important ones)
  - FD Number of frost days per year
  - CDD Maximum number of consecutive dry days per year
  - R5D Maximum 5 day rainfall during a given year
  - There are numerous other temperature and precipitation related indices

# **Extreme Climate Indicators**

## • Observations:

- Alexander et al. (2006) used extreme climate indicators to examine trends in climate from 1951-2003
- 2223 Temperature gages
- 5948 Precipitation gages
- Developed gridded global data set

## • Projections:

- Tebaldi et al. (2006) reported on climate model projections of extreme climate indicators
- A suite of IPCC AR4 model runs provide extreme indices projections
  - > 43 runs from 10 models across 3 scenarios
- We identified 8 modeled indices that were analogous to the observed indices
- 3 of the indices are important in this work

#### From Alexander et al. (2006)





From Tebaldi et al. (2006)

# Methodology

- 1. Perform regression analysis to relate observed extreme indices to observed 1% chance flood
  - Get data to do this from existing gages
- 2. Use this relationship to project changes to the 1% chance flood using projections of extreme indices
  - Use Monte Carlo sampling to quantify uncertainty
- 3. Apply these projections to hydraulic modeling studies
  - Accounting for uncertainty
  - Determine new top widths, W.S. elevations, etc.

# **Regression Analysis**

- Determine Q<sub>1%</sub> as a function of a variety of other watershed and climate characteristics
- Outcome variable:
  - Q<sub>1%</sub> 100-yr, 1% chance discharge
- Predictor variables:
  - DA Drainage area
  - SL Average slope
  - ST Storage
  - IA Impervious area (related to population)
  - Extreme Indices: FD, CDD, and R5D

## Gage Identification



- Identified 2,370 Urban and Rural Stations from published USGS reports
- This data provided DA, SL, ST, IA, and Existing Q<sub>1%</sub>

## **Extreme Indices at Gages**



#### Average Maximum 5 Day Rainfall Per Year from 1951-2003

• Extreme indices at gages were estimated using inverse distance weighting of the observed gridded extreme indices data set

# **Maryland Regression Equations**

• Developed equations for whole U.S. then adjusted for regional bias in Maryland:

 $Q_{1\%}$ : (in  $log_{10}$  form):

 $Q_{1\%} = 0.29227 + 0.711 (DA) + 0.169 (SL) - 0.329 (ST+1) + 0.180 (IA+1) - 0.205 (FD+1) - 0.176 (CDD+1) + 1.444 (R5D+1)$ 

- Standard Error: 0.1725 log units or 41.3%
- $R^2 = 0.764$

Note: This and other findings presented here are provisional and are shown for illustrative purposes only.

## **Projecting Change**

- What will likely change?
- Impervious area due to changes in population density
  - Population projections related back to impervious area
  - Population projections consistent with IPCC report
- Extreme indices due to climate change
  - Suite of climate model projections

## **Population Projections**

- Bengtsson et al. (2006) A SRES-based gridded population dataset for 1990-2100
- Assumes a uniform rate of change spatially for the whole U.S.



## Impervious Area (Related to Population)

- Population projections available
  - Need to be able to relate these back to impervious area
- Used the Hicks curve (blue) since it is based on a wide range of data
- 1. Determine population based on gage IA
- 2. Project population to some point in time
- 3. Determine IA projection from curve



From Bird et al. (2006) - Estimating Imperious Cover from Regionally Available Data

## **Climate Projections**

- Multiple models and multiple runs provide different pictures
  of change...
  GFDL
- Model extreme indices outputs re-gridded and 20-yr means calculated
- 20-yr Means for 5 Epochs:
  - 2000-2019
  - 2020-2039
  - 2040-2059
  - 2060-2079
  - 2080-2099



## **Extreme Indices Projections - Changes**

 Multiple-model mean projected changes in:

> FD – Number of frost days per year

over modeled existing conditions











## **Extreme Indices Projections - Changes**

 Multiple-model mean projected changes in:

> R5D – Maximum 5 day rainfall per year (mm)

over modeled existing conditions











# Monte Carlo Analysis Procedure



# Monte Carlo Analysis Procedure

- 1. Determine the Q resulting from modeled existing conditions
- 2. Determine the projected Q for an epoch
- 3. Apply this modeled change in Q as a relative change in the existing observed Q



• This helps correct for model bias

## Ultimately, we would like to say:

We estimate that  $Q_{1\%}$  will change an average of n% from its present value over the next century.

## **Results: Projected Changes**



Based on 1-million Monte Carlo simulations at each of the 65 gages in Maryland

Note: This and other findings presented here are provisional and are shown for illustrative purposes only.

## **Distribution of Projected Changes**



Note: This and other findings presented here are provisional and are shown for illustrative purposes only.

#### October 22, 2009

#### Slide 22

## Analysis for Howard County Maryland



Note: This and other findings presented here are provisional and are shown for illustrative purposes only.

#### October 22, 2009

Slide 23

## **Projecting Flooding**

#### Existing 1% (100-yr) Water Surface

#### New 1% (100-yr) Water Surface



### Changes in Top Width for Howard County



Note: This and other findings presented here are provisional and are shown for illustrative purposes only.

### Changes in Top Width for Howard County



Note: This and other findings presented here are provisional and are shown for illustrative purposes only.

### Changes in Top Width for Howard County



Note: This and other findings presented here are provisional and are shown for illustrative purposes only.

## Summary

- We used a set of 2,370 gages throughout the U.S. to relate watershed characteristics and extreme climate indices to the existing Q<sub>1%</sub>
- Using a suite of models, runs, and scenarios, we estimated the large uncertainty in climate and population projections and related this to projections of flooding
- Initial results suggest that future Q<sub>1%</sub> flooding will become more severe throughout the next century

## **Future Directions**

- More work must be done to further refine this approach
- Scale of study:
  - Although I showed illustrations for Maryland specifically, the scope of the overall study is such that it does not allow for this detailed scale of analysis
  - Future regional studies should focus on regional scale modeling and downscaling techniques
- Improved prediction accuracy of climate models and population models
- Methodologies must be developed within flexible frameworks to allow for the incorporation of new data, predictions, and modeling techniques

## **Questions - Thank You!**

#### **Additional Questions?**

Perry E. Rhodes - Project Manager - AECOM Water, 3101 Wilson Blvd, Suite 900 Arlington, VA 22201, PH: 703-682-4914; Email: perry.rhodes@aecom.com Dave Divoky – Technical Lead – AECOM Water, One Midtown Plaza, 1360 Peachtree St. NE, Suite 500, Atlanta, GA 30309, PH: 404-965-9601 x4917; Email: dave.divoky@aecom.com

October 22, 2009

Slide 30